arXiv:1604.04998v1 [quant-ph] 18 Apr 2016

On thermalization of two-level quantum systems

Sagnik Chakraborty,* Prathik Cherian J,† and Sibasish Ghosh‡
Optics and Quantum Information Group, The Institute of Mathematical Sciences, C. I. T. Campus, Taramani, Chennai 600113, India

It has always been a difficult issue in Statistical Mechanics to provide a generic interaction Hamiltonian among the microscopic constituents of a macroscopic system which would give rise to equilibration of the system. One tries to evade this problem by incorporating the so-called $H-theorem$, according to which, the (macroscopic) system arrives at equilibrium when its entropy becomes maximum over all the accessible micro states. This approach has become quite useful for thermodynamic calculations using the (thermodynamic) equilibrium states of the system. Nevertheless, the original problem has still not been resolved. In the context of resolving this problem it is important to check the validity of thermodynamic concepts – known to be valid for macroscopic systems – in the microscopic world. Quantum thermodynamics is an effort in that direction. As a toy model towards this effort, we look here at the process of thermalization of a two-level quantum system under the action of a Markovian master equation corresponding to memory-less action of a heat bath, kept at certain temperature. A two-qubit interaction Hamiltonian (H_{th}, say) is then designed – with a single-qubit mixed state as the initial state of the bath – which gives rise to thermalization of the system qubit in the infinite time limit. We then look at the question of equilibration by taking the simplest case of a two-qubit system $A+B$, under some interaction Hamiltonian H_{int} (which is of the form of H_{th}) with the individual qubits being under the action of separate heat baths of temperatures T_A, and T_B. Different equilibrium phases of the two-qubit system are shown to appear – both the qubits or one of them get cooled down.

PACS numbers: 05.30.-d,03.65.Yz

I. INTRODUCTION

The dynamics of evolution of systems towards equilibrium has always been a challenging problem in Statistical Mechanics. The difficulty lies in prescribing a form of interaction at the microscopic level that will give rise to equilibration. It has been evaded by proposing the so called *H-theorem* which states that a system attains equilibrium when the entropy function is maximized over the accessible states of the system. Although this has proved to be a very efficient way to calculate and work with equilibrium states, the heart of the problem remains unsolved. So we look at this thermodynamic problem from a quantum mechanical perspective. This is where Quantum Thermodynamics comes in. Moreover, the concepts and laws of thermodynamics are presumably valid only in macroscopic regime. To see how the laws and definitions of thermodynamic quantities viz heat, work, etc behave in microscopic regime is another incentive that can be achieved through Quantum Thermodynamics.

There has been a number of works [1–4] where the problem of equilibration is looked at from a quantum mechanical perspective. For example, Linden et al. [5] looked into the problem of smallest possible quantum refrigerator. In the process, they considered a two-qubit system as a refrigerator in which one qubit acts as the system to be cooled while the other works as the coil of the refrigerator by extracting heat from the body (to be cooled), and releasing it to the environment. The two-qubit refrigerator is derived from the steady state solution of a three-qubit master equation which the authors provided phenomenologically. Instead of following this phenomenological approach, our aim is to identify a microscopic derivation of a master equation for the refrigeration. To proceed, we consider the notion of *thermalizing Hamiltonians* for the individual qubits together with the three-qubit interaction Hamiltonian.

Unfortunately this, in general, is a formidable task. The solution may become tractable if one can come up with a thermalizing Hamiltonian for the individual qubits where the thermalizing process maybe simulated through action of a few ancilla qubits. Now, although master equations for a system to equilibrate are available, it remains a task to prescribe a Hamiltonian that will give rise to equilibration. Here, in this paper, we work out a thermalizing Hamiltonian H_{th} for the quantum-optical master equation [6] which gives rise to thermal equilibration of a qubit. We find that a single-qubit ancilla is sufficient for such a system. Moreover, we took two qubits each of which is already in contact with a separate single-qubit ancilla through H_{th} and the qubits themselves are under the action of an interaction Hamiltonian which is taken here (for simplicity) to be of the form H_{th}. It is seen that the there exist cases where equlibration results in cooling of both the qubits or one of the qubits. By cooling, we mean a decrease in their thermal state temperatures.

Another model of thermalization (for spin-$\frac{1}{2}$ systems) has been developed by Kleinbolting and Klesse [7]. In this work, they used the swap operation between system and bath to give rise to thermalization. But a drawback

* csagnik@imsc.res.in
† prathikcj@imsc.res.in
‡ sibasish@imsc.res.in

of this method is that the system is fully thermalized after a finite time interval, which is an unrealistic model.

This paper is organized as follows: In section II, we first describe the thermalizing process of a qubit as a pin map. We then look at the optical master equation for a qubit to find out its time dependent solutions. The affine transformation relating the initial state and the time-evolved state is then described. This affine transformation is then parametrized to find out the thermalizing Hamiltonian H_{th} with a single-qubit ancilla simulating the heat bath. In section III, we consider the total Hamiltonian for four qubits – two qubits as ancilla and two system qubits which are also interacting via H_{th}. Considering the initial states of the ancilla qubits to be thermal states (at different temperatures, in general), and considering different initial states of the system qubits, we then look at the time-evolved states of the system qubits for large enough time (until the system qubits equilibrate). We then numerically look at the final steady state temperatures of the system qubits with different initial temperatures of the ancilla qubits, and consequently obtain different phase diagrams. In section IV we draw our conclusions.

II. FORM OF THERMALIZING HAMILTONIAN

The starting point for our work is realising that thermalization can be achieved through several ways, one of which being Markovian master equations. Therefore, we take a Markovian master equation, the quantum optical master equation, where a qubit (two levels of an atom) is in contact with a bath (a radiation field). Given the fact that all Markovian master equations give rise to equilibration to *thermal states* ($\rho_{th} = e^{-H/k_BT}$) we try to figure out a Hamiltonian which will do the same. This Hamiltonian, which will henceforth be called as thermalizing Hamiltonian H_{th} will give rise to a unitary process where the system (two levels of the atom) will eventually reach a constant thermal state.

To calculate the thermalizing Hamiltonian H_{th}, we find the affine transformation on the Bloch vector of the system qubit that will give rise to the same evolution as the quantum optical master equation. On doing so, we realize that the affine transformation is a special case of generalized amplitude damping channel [8]. We then refer to a result by Narang and Arvind [9] where it is shown that it is enough for certain qubit channels to have a single-qubit mixed state ancilla. Terhal et al [10] have shown that certain single-qubit channels can only be simulated through qutrit mixed state environments. Our affine transformation fits into the criterion for single-qubit ancilla as in Narang et al [9] and we find a two-qubit Hamiltonian that simulates the evolution of the system qubit via the quantum optical master equation.

Given below are the details of the aforesaid thermalzation process. We will be working in the computational basis unless mentioned otherwise.

A. Thermalizing maps for qubits: Pin Map

Before we introduce Markovian master equations we look for the most general way a qubit can lead to thermalization – a qubit channel – a completely positive trace preserving map $\mathcal{N} : \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^2) \mapsto \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^2)$ such that $\mathcal{N}(\rho) = \rho_{th}$ for all single-qubit ρ. Such a map is called *pin* map. Here, $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^2)$ is the set of all bounded linear operators $A : \mathbb{C}^2 \mapsto \mathbb{C}^2$. Thus, we have:

$$\mathcal{N} = \begin{bmatrix} p & 0 & 0 & p \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1-p & 0 & 0 & 1-p \end{bmatrix} \tag{1}$$

The Kraus operator for $\mathcal{N}$ are:

$$K_{00} = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{p} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad K_{01} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{p} \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \tag{2}$$

$$K_{10} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \sqrt{1-p} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad K_{11} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{1-p} \end{bmatrix} \tag{3}$$

B. Markovian master equation

We choose the following quantum optical master equation which corresponds to a qubit interacting with a bosonic thermal bath under Markovian conditions.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\rho}{dt} = \gamma_0(N+1)\Big(\sigma_-\rho(t)\sigma_+ - \frac{1}{2}\sigma_+\sigma_-\rho(t) \\ - \frac{1}{2}\rho(t)\sigma_+\sigma_-\Big) + \gamma_0 N\Big(\sigma_+\rho(t)\sigma_- \\ - \frac{1}{2}\sigma_-\sigma_+\rho(t) - \frac{1}{2}\rho(t)\sigma_-\sigma_+\Big) \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Here, $N = (\exp\frac{E(\omega)}{k_BT} - 1)^{-1}$ is the Planck distribution. k_B is the Boltzmann constant, T is temperature and $E(\omega)$ is the energy at frequency ω. γ_0 is the spontaneous emission rate of the bath and $\gamma = \gamma_0(2N+1)$ is the total emission rate (including thermally induced emission and absorption processes). Here we have neglected the free evolution part. For more details, refer to [6].

If the initial system qubit state is given by $\rho(0) = \frac{1}{2}(I + \bar{r}(0).\bar{\sigma})$, where $\bar{r}(0) = (r_1(0), r_2(0), r_3(0))$, the master equation can be readily solved by choosing the time-evolved state to be $\rho(t) = \frac{1}{2}(I + \bar{r}(t).\bar{\sigma})$ where $\bar{r}(t) = (r_1(t), r_2(t), r_3(t))$. Thus, we find:

$$\begin{aligned} r_1(t) &= r_1(0)e^{-\gamma t/2}, \\ r_2(t) &= r_2(0)e^{-\gamma t/2}, \\ r_3(t) &= (r_3(0) + g)e^{-\gamma t} - g. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Here $g = \frac{\gamma_0}{\gamma} = (2N+1)^{-1}$ and also, $g \in [0, 1]$. g gives us a measure of the temperature T. It can be easily seen

that, higher the value of g, lower the temperature and vice versa. Specifically, $g = 0$ for $T = \infty$ and $g = 1$ for $T = 0$. The steady state solution for the system is a thermal state as expected, and corresponds to the Bloch vector $(0, 0, -g)$. Explicitly,

$$\rho_{th} = \frac{1}{2}\begin{bmatrix} 1-g & 0 \\ 0 & 1+g \end{bmatrix}. \tag{6}$$

C. Affine Transformation

Any single-qubit channel can be written as an affine transformation of the form $r_i(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{3} M_{ij} r_j(0) + C_i$ [8, 9]. Thus, we can express the corresponding affine transformation for our solution as a 3×3 matrix M and a column matrix C:

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} e^{-\gamma t/2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\gamma t/2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{-\gamma t} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{7}$$

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ g(e^{-\gamma t} - 1) \end{bmatrix}. \tag{8}$$

Here, we notice that this affine transformation is a special kind of generalized amplitude damping channel. Amplitude damping channels describe the effect of energy dissipation to environment at finite temperature. The affine transformation for a generalized amplitude damping channel has two positive parameters B, $p \in [0, 1]$. It is given by:

$$M_{GAD} = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{1-B} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{1-B} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1-B \end{bmatrix}, \tag{9}$$

$$C_{GAD} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ B(2p-1) \end{bmatrix}. \tag{10}$$

We can see that our thermalization process is a generalized amplitude damping channel with the parameter $p < \frac{1}{2}$.

D. Parametrizing the transformation

In [9], Narang and Arvind used a single-qubit mixed state ancilla to parametrize the affine transformation of a single-qubit channel. We follow their technique to simulate our dynamical process for thermalization. To do so, we consider a single-qubit mixed state ancilla of the form:

$$\rho_e = (1-\lambda)\frac{\mathbb{I}}{2} + \lambda|\phi\rangle\langle\phi|. \tag{11}$$

where $\frac{\mathbb{I}}{2}$ is the maximally mixed state and $|\phi\rangle$ is a general pure state given by,

$$|\phi\rangle = \cos\left(\frac{\xi}{2}\right)|0\rangle + e^{-i\eta}\sin\left(\frac{\xi}{2}\right)|1\rangle. \tag{12}$$

If ρ_e act as a bath state for a single-qubit system then evolution through the most general two-qubit unitary U will result in the following affine transformation for the system qubit. Thus, the class of single-qubit channels which can be simulated by a single-qubit mixed state ancilla is a six parameter family $(\alpha, \beta, \delta, \eta, \xi, \lambda)$ of affine transformations:

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} \cos\frac{\alpha+\delta}{2} & 0 & 0 & i\sin\frac{\alpha+\delta}{2} \\ 0 & e^{-i\beta}\cos\frac{\alpha-\delta}{2} & ie^{-i\beta}\sin\frac{\alpha-\delta}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & ie^{-i\beta}\sin\frac{\alpha-\delta}{2} & e^{-i\beta}\cos\frac{\alpha-\delta}{2} & 0 \\ i\sin\frac{\alpha+\delta}{2} & 0 & 0 & \cos\frac{\alpha+\delta}{2} \end{bmatrix}, \tag{13}$$

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} \cos\delta\cos\beta & \lambda\cos\delta\sin\beta\cos\xi & -\lambda\sin\delta\cos\beta\sin\eta\sin\xi \\ -\lambda\cos\alpha\sin\beta\cos\xi & \cos\alpha\cos\beta & \lambda\sin\alpha\cos\beta\cos\eta\sin\xi \\ -\lambda\cos\alpha\sin\delta\sin\eta\sin\xi & -\lambda\sin\alpha\cos\delta\sin\xi\cos\eta & \cos\alpha\cos\delta \end{bmatrix}, \tag{14}$$

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} -\lambda\sin\delta\sin\beta\sin\xi\cos\eta \\ -\lambda\sin\alpha\sin\beta\sin\xi\sin\eta \\ -\lambda\sin\alpha\sin\delta\cos\xi \end{bmatrix}. \tag{15}$$

One may notice a discrepancy with our closed form expressions of M and C (given in equations (14) and (15)) and those given by equations (11) and (12) in [9]. This is because there seems to be slight error in the latter's calculation. Please refer to Appendix A for details of our calculation.

It is also important to note here that by using the ancilla qubit, we are only simulating the dynamics of the system qubit leading to the infinite time thermalization. More specifically we do not have the ancilla state remaining static, as is the case for the bosonic bath. The ancilla state does in fact change.

The next step is to compare the parametrized forms of M and C in equations (14) and (15) respectively to the affine transformation of quantum optical master equation in (7) and (8). It is a fairly straightforward exercise and we get two sets of conditions on the parameters. Corresponding to these, we get two separate joint unitaries

giving rise to thermalization. But here, we consider only one of them (see Appendix A for details). One can check that the unitary does indeed lead to thermalization in the infinite time limit. Equivalently, this can also be seen by calculating the Kraus operators for the system qubit from the joint unitary operator and then applying infinite time limit.

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} \rho_s(t) = \rho_{th}. \tag{16}$$

Now, the thermalizing Hamiltonian can be found by using the following expression,

$$H_{th}(t) = i\left(\frac{dU(t,0)}{dt}\right) U(t,0)^\dagger. \tag{17}$$

The derivation of the above expession can be found in Appendix B. H_{th} takes the following form

$$H_{th}(t) = f(t)\Big(|\phi^+\rangle\langle\phi^+| - |\phi^-\rangle\langle\phi^-|\Big), \tag{18}$$

where,

$$f(t) = \frac{\pm\gamma e^{-\gamma t/2}}{2\sqrt{1-e^{-\gamma t}}},$$

$$|\phi^+\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|00\rangle + |11\rangle)$$

.
For more details of this calculation please refer to the Appendix B.

III. TWO-QUBIT INTERACTION

Now that we have *a* thermalizing Hamiltonian for a single-qubit, we can ask the question, what happens when two such qubits (each being attached with its own mixed state ancilla qubit) interact with each other? What is the respective thermal behavior of the system qubits? Thus, instead of a three qubit system – as taken by Linden *et al.* [3]– we consider the thermalization of a two-qubit interacting system to make things simpler. To look into this in more detail, we present the following scenario.

As depicted in the FIG. 1, consider two qubits A and B interacting with their own respective single-qubit mixed state ancillae A_1 and B_1 through thermalizing Hamiltonians $H_{A_1A}(t)$ and $H_{BB_1}(t)$. We also have the two qubits A and B interacting with each other through the interaction Hamiltonian H_{AB}. As we see in Appendix A, the bath qubits are initially in thermal states themselves. Here, we choose $(0,0,g_1)$ and $(0,0,g_2)$ as the Bloch vectors for the bath qubits A_1 and B_1 respectively. As mentioned earlier, g_i's are functions of their respective temperatures. Thus, the initial states of bath qubits A_1 and B_1 are the



FIG. 1. (colour online) Two qubits A and B, which are associated with their individual bath qubits A_1 and B_1, are interacting within themselves.



FIG. 2. (colour online) Initial state for AB is $|\Psi\rangle = |00\rangle$.

following thermal states,

$$\rho_{A_1}(0) = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbb{I} + g_1\sigma_3,) \tag{19}$$

$$\rho_{B_1}(0) = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbb{I} + g_2\sigma_3). \tag{20}$$

The thermalizing Hamiltonians $H_{A_1A}(t)$ and $H_{BB_1}(t)$ are given by,

$$H_{A_1A}(t) = a(t)\big(|\phi^+\rangle\langle\phi^+| - |\phi^-\rangle\langle\phi^-|\big), \tag{21}$$

$$H_{BB_1}(t) = b(t)\big(|\phi^+\rangle\langle\phi^+| - |\phi^-\rangle\langle\phi^-|\big), \tag{22}$$

where,

$$a(t) = \frac{\gamma_1 e^{-\gamma_1 t/2}}{2\sqrt{1-e^{-\gamma_1 t}}},$$

$$b(t) = \frac{\gamma_2 e^{-\gamma_2 t/2}}{2\sqrt{1-e^{-\gamma_2 t}}}.$$

We consider a simple interaction Hamiltonian H_{AB} and choose it in the same functional form as the thermalizing Hamiltonian:

$$H_{AB}(t) = c(t)\big(|\phi^+\rangle\langle\phi^+| - |\phi^-\rangle\langle\phi^-|\big) \tag{23}$$

where,

$$c(t) = \frac{\gamma_3 e^{-\gamma_3 t/2}}{2\sqrt{1-e^{-\gamma_3 t}}},$$



FIG. 3. (colour online) Initial state for AB is the maximally entangled state $|\phi^+\rangle$ ($\psi = 0.25\pi, \theta = 0.5\pi, \phi = 0.5\pi$).



FIG. 4. (colour online) Initial state for AB is a state slightly shifted from $|\phi^+\rangle$ (here $\psi = 0.248\pi, \theta = \pi/2, \phi = \pi/2$).

So, now the total Hamitonian is:

$$H_{tot}(t) = H_{A_1A}(t) \otimes \mathbb{I}_{BB_1} + \mathbb{I}_{A_1A} \otimes H_{BB_1}(t) + \mathbb{I}_{A_1} \otimes H_{AB}(t) \otimes \mathbb{I}_{B_1}. \quad (24)$$

Here on, we will drop the subscript and simply refer to the total Hamiltonian as $H(t)$. The time evolution operator is,

$$U(t,0) = \exp\left(-i\int_0^t H(s)ds\right) = \exp\left(-i\bar{H}(t)\right) \quad (25)$$

where, $\bar{H}(t) = \int_0^t H(s)ds$.

In order to calculate the explicit form of the time evolution operator, we need to diagonalize $\bar{H}(t)$ which is a 16×16 matrix. It turns out that it is a sparse matrix of rank 12. So we can project out the 4 dimensional null space such that we get the 12×12 matrix instead. But even with this simplification, we end up having trouble to calculate the unitary matrix analytically. We therefore turn to numerical simulations.

We write a program that allows us to plot the regions in which the system qubits A and B get heated or cooled as the initial bath temperatures are varied. Here, heating/cooling is defined as the increase/decrease in the final qubit temperature as compared to their initial temperature, respectively. Here, we use the fact that to any single-qubit state, we can always assign a temperature since every single-qubit state can be associated to an equivalent thermal state.



FIG. 5. (colour online) Initial states for A, B are thermal states with $g = 1/10$.



FIG. 6. (colour online) Initial states for A, B are thermal states with $g = 1/30$.

In the program, we fix the initial state of the system qubits as joint pure states, thermal states, etc. Then, for some fixed initial bath temperatures we evolve the four-qubit system under the unitary evolution to find the final state, typically for a time t=1000 [11], when equilibriation has occurred. Then by assigning temperatures to the final qubit states, and thereby comparing with their corresponding initial temperatures, we decipher whether heating or cooling has taken place and plotted accord-



FIG. 7. (colour online) Initial states for A, B are thermal states with $g = 1/50$.

ingly. Now, the bath temperatures are changed and the process is repeated.

We see that, in principle, one or both of A and B can cool down to temperatures close to zero.

Finally, in the plots we have shown different regions of heating/cooling of the system qubits for different initial states of A and B (with the X and Y axes as the bath temperatures of B_1 and A_1, respectively). Also the interaction parameter γ_3 is fixed for all the plots. Parametric representation used for two-qubit pure states in the plots is:

$$|\Psi\rangle = \cos\psi\,|00\rangle + \sin\psi\cos\theta\,|01\rangle + \sin\psi\sin\theta\cos\phi\,|10\rangle + \sin\psi\sin\theta\sin\phi\,|11\rangle$$

where $\psi \in [0,\pi]$, $\theta \in [0,\pi]$ and $\phi \in [0,2\pi]$.

IV. CONCLUSION

In this paper, we look at a Markovian master equation of a qubit that leads to thermalization and simulate it through a unitary process, replacing the bath with a single-qubit mixed state ancilla. This enables us to take two such interacting single-qubit systems in contact with their respective baths. We see different phases of cooling and heating of the two systems.

Although, a Markovian model of thermalization has been used here, there are nonMarkovian models too. These models need not necessarily be simulatable through a single-qubit ancilla (mixed or pure). For example, we consider the case of post-Markovian master equation as in [12, 14] and find that a single-qubit ancilla is not sufficient to simulate the thermalization process. Note that not all non-Markovian dynamics give rise to thermalization. For example, when qubit A interacts with qubit B under a Hamiltonian of the form $H_{AB}(t) = \omega(t)\sigma_z^{(A)} \otimes \sigma_z^{(B)}$, the reduced dynamics of A is non-Markovian but it does not give rise to thermalization (see Appendix C). On the other hand, the thermalization process of Maniscalco and Petruccione [14] is non-Markovian.

We expect that our result will stimulate further interest in finding out the fundamental dynamics that leads to thermalization (for example, studying adiabaticity in open quantum systems). As an extension to this work, we hope to look into more general thermalization models (even non-Markovian) which require two-qubit ancillae. Also, finding similar thermalizing Hamiltonian models for leaking cavity modes of radiation fields is an intriguing future project.

Acknowledgement: We would like to thank Manik Banik, Arindam Mallick, and George Thomas for their valuable inputs.

V. APPENDIX

A. Parametrization of Single Qubit Channels

In this Appendix, we will discuss the explicit calculations involved in parametrizing M and C matrices (appeared in equations (14) and (15)) of the single-qubit channels simulatable through a single-qubit mixed state ancilla.

The form of U, given in equation (13), can be rewritten after a simple basis change in the following way,

$$U = K_0(I^{(s)} \otimes I^{(e)}) + K_1(\sigma_1^{(s)} \otimes \sigma_1^{(e)}) + K_2(\sigma_2^{(s)} \otimes \sigma_2^{(e)}) + K_3(\sigma_3^{(s)} \otimes \sigma_3^{(e)}) \tag{26}$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} K_0 &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\cos\frac{\alpha+\delta}{2} + e^{-i\beta}\cos\frac{\alpha-\delta}{2}\right), \\ K_1 &= \frac{i}{2}\left(\sin\frac{\alpha+\delta}{2} + e^{-i\beta}\sin\frac{\alpha-\delta}{2}\right), \\ K_2 &= \frac{-i}{2}\left(\sin\frac{\alpha+\delta}{2} - e^{-i\beta}\sin\frac{\alpha-\delta}{2}\right), \\ K_3 &= \frac{1}{2}\left(\cos\frac{\alpha+\delta}{2} - e^{-i\beta}\cos\frac{\alpha-\delta}{2}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

Now recalling the form of the mixed state ancilla ρ_e from equation (11) and using an arbitrary initial state for the system qubit $\rho_s = \frac{1}{2}(I + \bar{r}.\bar{\sigma})$, we can define the composite initial state,

$$\rho_{se}^{initial} = \rho_s \otimes \rho_e. \tag{28}$$

To find the final evolved state of the system qubit, we apply the unitary and then trace out the environment,

$$\rho_s^{final} = \mathrm{Tr}_e\Big[U\rho_{se}^{initial}(U)^\dagger\Big]. \tag{29}$$

Now we can find out the components of ρ_s^{final} in the basis $\{\sigma_i^{(s)}\}$ by computing $\mathrm{Tr}[\sigma_i^{(s)}\rho_s^{final}]$. Thereby, we can read out the elements of M and C. For example, consider $i = 3$, we get:

$$\mathrm{Tr}[\sigma_3^{(s)}\rho_s^{final}] = M_{31}n_1 + M_{32}n_2 + M_{33}n_3 + C_3. \tag{30}$$

Finally, after somewhat lengthy calculations we end up with the parametrized matrices M and C given in the main paper. As mentioned earlier, these matrices differ slightly from those given in equations (11) and (12) in reference [9].

B. Calculation of thermalizing Hamiltonian

To find the thermalizing Hamiltonian, we first need to find the values of the parameters that match our particular case. For this, we compare the affine transformation

for the quantum optical case in equations (7) and (8) with the parametrized matrices in equations (14) and (15) respectively. It can be easily seen that there exist, two sets of parameters that will work. We will consider just one of them for illustration:

$$\lambda = g, \cos\alpha = \cos\delta = e^{\frac{-\gamma t}{2}}, \cos\beta = \pm 1 = \cos\xi, \quad (31)$$

where η can be arbitrary. So finally, we get the mixed state ancilla as the following thermal state,

$$\rho_e = \frac{1+g}{2}|0\rangle\langle 0| + \frac{1-g}{2}|1\rangle\langle 1|.$$

Putting the values from equation (31) in the form of unitary given in equation (13), we get the unitary for the thermalization process. Note that we now have a time dependent unitary,

$$U(t,0) = \begin{bmatrix} e^{\frac{-\gamma t}{2}} & 0 & 0 & i\sqrt{1-e^{-\gamma t}} \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ i\sqrt{1-e^{-\gamma t}} & 0 & 0 & e^{\frac{-\gamma t}{2}} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (32)$$

From here, we can calculate H_{th} easily as follows. We know,

$$U(t_2,t_1) = \exp\Big(-i\int_{t_1}^{t_2} H(s)ds\Big)$$

$$\begin{aligned} U(t+\Delta t,t) &= \exp\left(-i\int_t^{t+\Delta t} H(s)ds\right) \\ &\approx I - i\Delta t H(t) \end{aligned}$$

Using semi-group property of $U(t)$ we get,

$$\begin{aligned} U(t+\Delta t,0) &= U(t+\Delta t,t)U(t,0) \\ \Rightarrow U(t+\Delta t,t) &= U(t+\Delta t,0)U^\dagger(t,0) \\ &= \Big(U(t,0) + \Delta t\frac{dU(t,0)}{dt} + \cdots\Big)U^\dagger(t,0) \\ &\approx I + \Delta t\frac{dU(t,0)}{dt}U^\dagger(t,0) \end{aligned}$$

Comparing with the RHS of the previous equation, we get:

$$H_{th}(t) = i\left(\frac{dU(t,0)}{dt}\right)U^\dagger(t,0)$$

Thus, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} H_{th} &= \frac{\pm\gamma e^{\frac{-\gamma t}{2}}}{2\sqrt{1-e^{-\gamma t}}}\Big(|00\rangle\langle 11| + |11\rangle\langle 00|\Big) \\ &= f(t)\big(|\phi^+\rangle\langle\phi^+| - |\phi^-\rangle\langle\phi^-|\big) \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

Following exactly the same recipe for the second set of parameters, we get the second type of H_{th} as,

$$H_{th} = f(t)\big(|\psi^+\rangle\langle\psi^+| - |\psi^-\rangle\langle\psi^-|\big)$$

But here, it is important to note that this Hamiltonian does not lead to the same thermal state as before. In the previous case, we get the thermal Hamiltonian corresponding to the Bloch vector $(0,0,-g)$ which matches with the steady state of the quantum optical master equation. But in the second Hamiltonian, we get thermal state with the Bloch vector $(0,0,g)$.

C. Non-Markovian dynamics for a qubit

Let $H_{AB}(t) = \omega(t)\sigma_z^A \otimes \sigma_z^B$. This Hamiltonian is different time commuting i.e,

$$[H_{AB}(t), H_{AB}(t')] = 0 \quad \forall \quad t,t'.$$

The corresponding unitary is given by,

$$\begin{aligned} U_{AB}(t,0) &= \exp\big(-\frac{i}{\hbar}\int_0^t H_{AB}(\tau)d\tau\big) \\ &= \exp\big(-\frac{i}{\hbar}\int_0^t \omega(\tau)(\sigma_z^A\otimes\sigma_z^B)d\tau\big) \\ &= \cos(f(t))\mathbb{I}^{(A)}\otimes\mathbb{I}^{(B)}) - i\sin(f(t))(\sigma_z^A\otimes\sigma_z^B) \end{aligned}$$

where, $f(t) = \frac{1}{\hbar}\int_0^t \omega(\tau)d\tau$.

Let,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_A(0) &= \frac{1}{2}(\mathbb{I} + \vec{\sigma}.\vec{r}(0)), \\ \rho_B(0) &= \frac{1}{2}(\mathbb{I} + \vec{\sigma}.\vec{g}(0)) \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

with $\vec{g}$, $\vec{r} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and $|\vec{g}|$, $|\vec{r}| \leq 1$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{AB}(t) &= U_{AB}(t,0)(\rho_A(0)\otimes\rho_B(0))U_{AB}^\dagger(t,0) \\ &= \cos^2(f(t))(\rho_A(0)\otimes\rho_B(0)) \\ &+ \sin^2(f(t))(\sigma_z^A\otimes\sigma_z^B)(\rho_A(0)\otimes\rho_B(0))(\sigma_z^A\otimes\sigma_z^B) \\ &- \frac{i}{2}\sin(2f(t))(\sigma_z^A\rho_A(0)\otimes\sigma_z^B\rho_B(0)) \\ &+ \frac{i}{2}\sin(2f(t))(\rho_A(0)\sigma_z^A\otimes\rho_B(0)\sigma_z^B). \end{aligned}$$

So the reduced state of A is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_A(t) &= \mathrm{Tr}_B\big[\rho_{AB}(t)\big] \\ &= \cos^2(f(t))\rho_A(0) + \sin^2(f(t))\sigma_z^A\rho_A(0)\sigma_z^A \\ &- \frac{i}{2}\sin(2f(t))g_z\sigma_z^A\rho_A(0) \\ &+ \frac{i}{2}\sin(2f(t))g_z\rho_A(0)\sigma_z^A. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

As Breuer et al. showed in their work [13] regarding measure of non markovianity, we calculate the following trace distance between the evolved states $\rho_A(t)$ and $\sigma_A(t)$ of two different initial states $\rho_A(0)$ and $\sigma_A(0)$ which in turn correspond to initial Bloch vectors $\vec{r}(0)$ and $\vec{s}(0)$ respectively,

$$||\rho_A(t)-\sigma_A(t)||_{tr} = \{(r_z(0)-s_z(0))^2 + [(r_x(0)-s_x(0))^2+(r_y(0)-s_y(0))^2]. \times [\cos^2(2f(t)) + g_z^2\sin^2(f(t))]\}^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (36)$$

So,

$$\frac{d}{dt}[||\rho_A(t)-\sigma_A(t)||_{tr}^2] = -2\sin(4f(t))f'(t)(1-g_z^2) \times \{(r_x(0)-s_x(0))^2+(r_y(0)-s_y(0))^2\} \quad (37)$$

We now choose $f(t)$ and g_z in such a way that, for some t, we have,

$$|g_z|<1 \text{ and } \sin(4f(t))f'(t)<0. \quad (38)$$

Then, the dynamics $\rho_A(0) \to \rho_A(t)$ must be non-Markovian. It cannot happen that $\sin(4f(t))f'(t) > 0 \ \forall t$. Therefore,

$$\frac{d}{dt}[||\rho_A(t)-\sigma_A(t)||_{tr}^2] \not< 0 \ \ \forall t$$

Thus, the map $\rho_A(0) \to \rho_A(t)$ is non-Markovian. Note that under the conditions given in (38) not all $\rho_A(t)$ tend to a fixed thermal state in any limit of t ($t \to \infty$ or otherwise).

[1] AJ Short, *Quantum equilibration in finite time*, New J. Phys. 13, 053009 (2011)

[2] AJ Short and TC Farrelly, *Equilibration of quantum systems and subsystems*, New J. Phys. 14, 013063 (2012)

[3] S Popescu, AJ Short and A Winter, *Entanglement and the foundations of statistical mechanics*, Nature Phys. 2, 754-758 (2006)

[4] P Skrzypczyk, AJ Short and S Popescu, *Work extraction and thermodynamics for individual quantum systems*, Nature Commun. 5, 4185 (2014)

[5] N Linden, S Popescu, and P Skrzypczyk, *How Small Can Thermal Machines Be? The Smallest Possible Refrigerator*, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 130401 (2010)

[6] H-P Breuer and F Petruccione, *The Theory of Open Quantum Systems*, Oxford Univ. Press (2002)

[7] S Kleinbolting and R Klesse, *How two spins can thermalize a third spin*, Phys. Rev. E 91, 052101 (2015)

[8] MA Nielsen and IL Chuang, *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information*, Cambridge Univ. Press (2010)

[9] Geetu Narang and Arvind *Simulating a single-qubut channel using a mixed state environment*, Phys. Rev A 75, 032305 (2007)

[10] BM Terhal, IL Chuang, DP DiVincenzo, M Grassl, and JA Smolin *Simulating quantum operations with mixed environments*, Phys. Rev A 60, 881 (1999)

[11] Note that $t = 1000$ is big enough to be effectively considered as infinite time in our numerical calculations.

[12] A Shabani and DA Lidar, *Completely positive post-Markovian master equation via a measurement approach*, Phys. Rev. A 71, 020101 (2005)

[13] H-P Breuer, EM Laine, and J Piilo, *Measure for the Degree of Non-Markovian Behavior of Quantum Processes in Open Systems*,Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 210401 (2009)

[14] S Maniscalco and F Petruccione, *Non-Markovian dynamics of a qubit*, Phys. Rev. A 73, 012111 (2006)